

**MINUTES OF MEETING
ARBOR GREENE
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Arbor Greene Community Development District was held on August 11, 2004 at 10:00 a.m. in the Gathering Room of the Arbor Greene Recreation Center, 1800 Arbor Greene Drive, Tampa, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Charlie Funk	Chairman
Jeff Meehan	Vice Chairman
Christine Nelson	Assistant Secretary
David Bootcheck	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

John Daugirda	District Manager
Chuck Adams	District Staff
John Ricciardi	District Staff
Tracy Robin	District Counsel
Numerous Residents	

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Mr. Daugirda called the meeting to order and called the roll.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Approval of the Minutes of the
July 13, 2004 Meeting**

Mr. Daugirda stated you have received the minutes of the July 13, 2004 meeting and asked for additions, corrections, or deletions.

Ms. Nelson stated on page 6, the word in the third paragraph from the top is Parkland, not Parkview. Could you tell us more about the cost of the air bridge for the security camera that you mentioned on page 4 of the last minutes?

Mr. Adams responded the camera cost \$3,500 and was originally installed to keep records at the guardhouse. It cost \$400 more to move records collection to this location.

On MOTION by Mr. Bootcheck seconded by Mr. Meehan with all in favor the minutes of the July 13, 2004 meeting were approved as amended.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

A. Attorney

Mr. Robin stated a question was raised last meeting if the information captured by the CDD's security camera is considered to be in the public record. Any information relating to how a system is set up or designed and the methodology used for the security system itself is not in the public record. However, information captured by that system such as images of cars entering is public. People should have no expectation of privacy if they drive on public roads. District camera images and security logs are public records that can be examined by anyone who wishes.

However, since electronic images are captured and maintained on a separate computer server the District can charge to set up the review. As the District cannot release tapes to non-Arbor Greene or non-District staff a review requires the CDD to provide a computer operator to make records available to anyone wanting to access those records. We are reviewing these expenses and estimate them to be in the range of \$20 to \$30 per hour.

Ms. Nelson stated we do not have to hire extra staff. This charge is for taking Ms. Koscinski away from, her regular duties.

Mr. Robin stated correct. I anticipate any review requests to be periodic. I will advise the Board as soon as we have a rate.

B. Engineer

There not being any, the next item followed.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisors Requests and Audience Comments

Mr. Daugirda stated we have a very good turnout tonight and will skip to the fourth agenda item and open the floor to audience comments.

Preschool Program

The Board and residents discussed the closing of the bilingual preschool that had been operating for no fee in the Community Center's multipurpose room. Following are questions and responses:

- *What is the rationale for closing the school?*

Mr. Adams responded Arbor Greene is near build-out and the director and I had previously discussed her continued use of the multipurpose room for 18 Arbor Greene families given her plans to increase program days from three to four days a week. The Community Center is also undergoing a refurbishment planning process so it better serves the entire community. We noted that she was not paying any fees for room usage but this was not a major part of the discussion. The Board's main concern was the school's escalating program and the District's need to provide services to as many residents as possible with the limited number of facilities that we have. No attack was made on the preschool program. Everyone recognized the program's value and the good it does for the community. Originally we wanted the school to close before the new fiscal year but because of the shortness of the notice and the closing's effect on so many families, we gave an extension that would take us through October 1, 2004.

- *The July preschool closing was decided on very short notice. The program should have been cut in August or September or should extend through next year. Residents have plans for their children and it is not in the children's best interest to be ripped out of a comfortable environment.*

Mr. Adams stated the conversation I had with the preschool director was that the Board's intention was that the program not start again this school year. However, during the discussion we recognized that there were 16 or 17 families affected by the closure and also that the director stated she had every intention of seeking a new location to continue the program. With that in mind and allowing families to continue to keep their children in the

program while they secured a new location, I felt it was in everyone's best interest to extend until October.

- *It does not make sense for Arbor Greene residents to have to travel to the school's new residence when it already has a site at Arbor Greene.*

Mr. Daugirda stated the CDD is a unit of government and this building was constructed with public funds for public use and therefore the Board must balance appropriate public use of the center.

- *What is the ratio of Arbor Greene residents to non-residents?*

Mr. Adams stated two are non-residents. My comment was intended to show that there was a large enrollment of residents' children.

- *Parents had no chance for input:*

A resident stated the first mention of concern about the school was last January. On other issues such as the Parkview Retention pond and swing sets the newsletter told the community of the Board issue. The school issue was brought up in a CDD meeting discussion and parents were away on vacation or did not know. If you had announced in the July newsletter that the preschool was being discussed you would have had our input. Two months is not enough time for families to find new preschools.

- *After taking away a program that is so beneficial for the residents, will that space go empty? Who else would use the room during preschool hours of 9:00 to 12:00?*

Mr. Adams replied school hours are 9:00-12:00 p.m. three or four days a week and the room is occupied. School furniture, supplies, and equipment are set up permanently because there is no storage available and the amount of these supplies and furnishings is significant enough to severely limit other uses of the room.

- *The school is a plus for the community.*

A resident stated it was welcoming to know there is a preschool on site to help my children find friends and that I would not have to fight traffic and congestion. When you gave permission for the preschool to open three years

ago did you not think it might be successful? You promoted the preschool for years and suddenly you yank it. When I came to Arbor Greene it was indicated that this was a preschool and I had to fill out forms just as if it were a school. This community is not what it was when I bought here six years ago. The Community Center is not family-oriented. The school is good for our community and I would like to see Arbor Greene go back to what it was originally.

Mr. Daugirda stated it was not deemed a preschool three years ago; it was a Spanish enrichment program.

A resident stated last meeting it was stated the preschool was not charged a fee for the room and that there might be one. The director stated that if Arbor Greene was going to charge for use of the room she would move her school to different facilities. There was also discussion of potential liability to the District if the children were to become ill or hurt at the school.

- *No design changes have been made to the room and even then, the preschool still fits into the multipurpose category.*

Ms. Nelson stated the preschool issue has been a difficult one. Arbor Greene needs such a program but when it started it was a two-hour low-key program. The program has since grown from part-time to permanent. Activities such as the book club, scrap-booking, or jewelry shows are in-and-out programs over in one day while the preschool is not and it permanently occupies the space. A point made last meeting was for the school to continue, liability concerns and restrictions must be considered such as the danger of an unsupervised swimming pool nearby and having the bathrooms available only for the children and not other residents or visitors.

A renovation committee has been working on plans for the last six months to renovate the room and the plans must consider what must be moved or changed or replaced. The Board had to consider that the preschool had grown to the point of becoming a permanent facility and displacing other residents from using that room. The charge for use was beside the point and

short of finding another facility to dedicate to a nursery school that will get bigger we could not continue to use the multipurpose room. We have been discussing this issue for 18 months. It is a great program and I wish there were some way to keep it.

Mr. Meehan stated this issue has been going on for 18 months. Many residents here today have told us they wanted other things happening in this center that would have activities for more than only 16 or 17 families. Therefore, about eight months ago a committee was established to change the use of the building. The problems we ran into last month in trying to be fair to everyone in the community is that we were staring at a September 1 start date for the preschool. We knew that reconstruction was going on and it would not have been fair to close the school in the middle of the year and make parents start over again. The Board discussed the issue for months and things came to a head last meeting. The decision was made last month and I would vote the same way today as I did last month, knowing about the use of the building, the number of people involved, and the liability aspect. It was the right decision despite the school's being good for the community.

Mr. Bootcheck stated I was appointed to the Board last month after the preschool vote was taken. There is no argument that this is a great program but it was started with limited use and now has grown by leaps and bounds. Growth is great for the school but chairs, tables and supplies for the preschool program are there permanently. Another consideration on this building is that there are still many million-dollar houses to sell in Arbor Greene and a sales center still needs to be here.

- *If you knew Lennar Homes was coming in to the Center why did you give the preschool permission to use the room?*

Mr. Bootcheck responded the preschool, Arbor Greene offices, and Lennar Homes share the building. What impression do potential buyers get when they enter a sales center with a preschool present? The school director needs to develop a plan on how to make the preschool work before the main

construction starts because the multi-use room may be changed into something else.

- *How does the preschool program differ from the tennis or swimming programs that put wear and tear on our facilities. I heard the room would become an aerobics room.*

Ms. Nelson responded the difference is that the tennis and pool facilities were built specifically for those uses but the multi-purpose room was not built to house a nursery school. The room will not become an aerobics room; we will install an aerobics floor so that many uses can be made of the room.

Mr. Funk left the meeting at this time.

Mr. Meehan stated a committee has been in place for months to consider space use. All projected use of the room goes through and is formalized by that committee, which the Board is not involved with. If you have suggestions on the use of this room you should help that committee with proposals. The committee tries to consider use of the room that will help the entire community. No one has specified the future use of this room—it could be multifunction—but it will be up to the committee with resident input.

- *What can we do to help the school? What does the director need to do to keep the program? Can the issue not be reviewed given tonight's large turnout?*

Mr. Daugirda responded the Board is stating it will not review it.

A resident asked do you mean that we want the Board to review its decision we must bring this issue to the renovation committee?

Mr. Bootcheck responded yes. We had to make a decision last month given the September start date for the preschool. This group of parents and the school director should work with the renovation committee to get more of what everyone needs and bring back a community recommendation.

Ms. Nelson stated the committee can consider ways to make that room more user-friendly for children—which it is not now—and no doubt the committee can consider having a nursery school there.

A resident stated now only 18 families use that school but every year there will be more families that can use it.

A resident stated we are trying to enrich the community. I am against having a childcare center here as the building is not designed for this. However, the preschool is a bilingual enrichment program and if the Board has a problem with this we should not have any other enrichment program either.

Ms. Nelson stated no one on the Board disagrees with the bilingual enrichment program; we think it is great. However, the room is being used during renovation work and the renovation committee needs to be consulted about your desires for the room's future use.

Mr. Daugirda stated the Board recommended that the parents' group work with the renovation committee to repackaging the preschool plan into a set of conditions that might be acceptable to the Board.

<p>On MOTION by Mr. Bootcheck seconded by Ms. Nelson with Mr. Bootcheck and Ms. Nelson voting AYE and Mr. Meehan voting NAY the preschool was granted a 60-day operation extension from the end of this month to develop a plan for the preschool's use of the community center facilities, coordinate with the Renovation Committee, and bring the plan for Board consideration by December 1, 2004.</p>

Mr. Adams stated I already gave the director an extension until October 1 and tonight the Board is giving her another extension to December 1. She has an additional 60 days.

Mr. John Brickley stated the different committees set up in Arbor Greene should have liaisons with the CDD Board and report on activities so residents know what is happening in the community.

Mr. Meehan stated District minutes are posted on the website and also available in Ms. Koscinski's office.

Mr. Daugirda stated if the Board agrees we will add a "Committee Reports" line to future agendas and place it right after Staff Reports so the committee heads or liaisons can make reports.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS Staff Reports (continued)

C. Manager – Meeting Schedule for Fiscal Year 2005

Ms. Nelson asked could we change the meeting time when we switch to an all-resident board to get more resident participation?

Mr. Daugirda responded we have some evening meetings to encourage attendance and Mr. Brickley recommended the committees set up CDD Board liaisons. Changing the time is up to the Board but daytime schedules allow staff and consultants to attend during their normal working hours.

Mr. Meehan stated changing the meeting time does not seem to get more people involved in CDD meetings.

Mr. Daugirda stated the most efficient Boards have very efficient committee systems. The Board's job is not to gather all the facts; staff and committees should be charged with gathering facts, distilling, crystallizing and bringing proposals for Board policy decisions. The Board sends direction back to the staff and committees. Not every comment needs to be addressed to the Board.

Mr. Meehan stated I would like to change the December 8 meeting to December 1 at 10:00 a.m. so I can be present for the preschool discussion.

Mr. Daugirda stated the date change is noted for the 2005 schedule.

Mr. Adams gave an update of activities:

- **Community Center Clubhouse Renovation:** Ms. Nelson and I met with the head of the community center renovation committee to go over

designs and study what we can and cannot do structurally to the facility. We will hear from the contractor next week and we will bring that information back first to Ms. Leslie Orso who heads the clubhouse committee and then to the Board.

- **Security Company Change:** We transitioned from Fox Security to U.S. Security Company on August 2. We now have a very thorough and pleasant guard at the main gate and were able to retain a guard named Julia from Fox. Based on my contact with the new staff this morning we have some quality people now.

- **Pool Furniture:** New pool patio furniture is in place and we will advertise the old furniture as surplus material. I will bring any bids received for the Board's consideration and approval. The equipment was in poor condition so I do not know what we will get on a bid.

- **Hurricane Preparation:** We are starting preliminary preparations for Hurricane Charley forecast to arrive Friday and Saturday. We are securing objects that can become flying projectiles in high winds, checking the entire drainage system and all control structures to ensure they are free of debris to enable quick stormwater drainage, and our landscape contractor, Greenview, will be on call to clean up storm debris such as downed trees, branches, foliage and open up the roadways.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of Financial Statements, Electricity Consumption Report and Invoices

Mr. Daugirda stated you have received your financial statements. The Board requested a schedule of maintenance contract expiration dates and this list is included here. Some numbers are also included on the cost of repairs to gates.

Ms. Nelson stated on page 3 the cost of the gas heater for the pool is almost an \$8,000 shortfall. How did we get to \$12,000 for the year and why

is the number for next year much smaller? Is this an anomaly or do we need to adjust the pool heater. Mr. Adams stated I will check this but I am sure it is directly related to increased fuel costs these last six months.

Ms. Nelson stated if so we should adjust the 2005 budget.

Mr. Adams stated you cannot adjust the budget but you do have Contingency line items that will address those costs and we are also starting to build a good fund surplus.

Ms. Nelson stated many costs are coming in very high. Were budget adjustments made for the \$2,000 rise in health costs and \$3,500 in printing charges?

Mr. Adams responded health cost line items were adjusted for increased employee and general liability insurance costs. Our insurance budget was based on actual numbers from proposals my accounting department receives. We did indicate during the budget process that printing costs would rise, primarily because of the cost of paper and ink and a higher print run for your newsletter. Those expenses will be offset under "Miscellaneous Revenue" from newsletter advertising revenue.

Mr. Daugirda stated we budgeted \$1,500 and we collected \$19,647.44.

Mr. Meehan asked how successful is the advertising?

Mr. Adams responded fairly well. The business card-sized ads seem to be the most popular.

Ms. Nelson asked why is "Building/Repairs Maintenance" \$28,000 on a budget of \$12,000?

Mr. Adams responded a portion is the purchase of exercise room equipment that we planned to place against our leasing-purchase cost. As a part of the financing for this clubhouse renovation, some interior capital expenses such as for treadmills and bikes would be included as part of that financing number. Rather than seek separate financing we paid for some of that in cash. Some things may also be miscoded to that item.

Ms. Nelson stated then it is more properly coded under equipment.

Mr. Adams stated let me check the account detail for that line item. We already have some numbers under “Capital Improvement” and the equipment may already have been coded.

Ms. Nelson stated on “Grounds” we are \$11,000 short. Was it the fascia repair we did earlier?

Mr. Adams responded I will check both items and call you before the next meeting. I look at all your programs on a line-by-line basis to ensure we are staying in line with your budget and I check the bottom line of all your expenses against your revenues. At the bottom of page 4 you will see that year-to-date we are at plus-\$60,000; this amount will build over the next months.

On MOTION by Ms. Nelson seconded by Mr. Meehan with all in favor the financials were approved.
--

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS	Supervisor’s Audience (continued)	Requests and Comments
---------------------------------	--	------------------------------

Guard Uniforms:

Ms. Nelson stated my new gate guard is wearing a baseball hat with “Chuck’s Security” on it. Will you change that?

Mr. Adams responded I will ask the contractor to have guards dress appropriately until our special order uniforms with nametags and golden armbands arrive. I gave the contractor an extra 30 days on uniforms and also because of the short notice in changing security companies. I have not heard anything negative about the guards themselves and the way they are processing visitors.

Post Orders for Visitors:

Ms. Nelson asked do post orders require guards to call residents about visitors?

Mr. Adams responded residents can pre-register visitors by putting names on a guard list. Otherwise, after 10:00 p.m. guards must take down all the information as required and call residents before letting visitors enter.

Ms. Nelson stated we should give Ms. Koscinski a paper on these post orders because I do not think many residents know this. Is this something for the newsletter?

Mr. Adams stated residents get this post order information sheet when they move into the community. We will have it republished it in the newsletter and posted on the website as a reminder.

Traffic Planner/Lights at Devonshire:

Ms. Nelson asked have you met with the City of Tampa traffic planner yet?

Mr. Adams responded we are playing phone tag but I am leaving messages for the person who has all the answers. Your current District Engineer does not have that expertise and has recommended a traffic engineer to us. I am trying to set up a meeting for next week with a traffic engineer and Mr. Mendelsohn who asked about traffic lights. This is strictly a traffic engineering issue and we are looking into installing a traffic-calming device on that roadway. The issue is not traffic flow but people's comfort levels having cars driving down that street at extreme speeds.

Hurricane Debris:

Mr. Meehan stated we should set up a debris collection container in case of wind damage from Hurricane Charley.

Mr. Adams stated we do not know how many containers to set out. It is better to have a "spoil" area to collect debris that a contractor then removes everything at one time. You then avoid tipping fees for large containers. The old trailer location is a good spoil area.

Elections:

Mr. Meehan asked will you explain the upcoming elections process?

Mr. Daugirda responded Seat #4 (Mr. Meehan), Seat #5 (Mr. Funk), and Seat #3 (Mr. Bootcheck) are up in November. There was a qualifying period which was publicized but no one filed to run. In this case, Supervisors can serve until their replacements are duly qualified and elected. If a Supervisor resigns the Board can appoint a replacement.

Mr. Meehan stated I recommend forming a committee to search for people interested in serving on the CDD Board. We have a thousand homeowners here with families and someone should come up with a list of potential Supervisors. I would like Mr. Adams to meet with the Board individually and with residents to get this process started before the next meeting, especially if we will have three seats open.

Mr. Daugirda stated we will continue the process we already started. Some people expressed interest.

Neighborhood Watch Signs:

Mr. Shelton stated we have been waiting since March for Neighborhood Watch signs. The company has made many excuses and even brought out the wrong signs. I ask the CDD to change sign companies.

Mr. Adams responded Signature Signs is the original supplier of all the decorative poles in Arbor Greene. They were the lowest bidders responding to our request for proposals and we gave them the opportunity to fulfill our needs. They have dragged the process out, brought the wrong colored signs, and given us every excuse in the book. I have had some unfriendly conversations with the company about following through on contracts but they do not seem to hear the message. I do not intend to use this company in the future but they do have a purchase order and are in the middle of doing the work. Three light poles are to go in a specific neighborhood and one is for the main gate at the left of the visitor entry.

Mr. Shelton asked can you push the process along?

Mr. Adams responded you will certainly not wait another six months. To avoid legal ramifications I will give them the chance to follow through.

Contractors are very busy in the Tampa area compared to the Fort Meyers or Naples areas. This job is a very small one and it is hard to locate other contractors.

Mr. Meehan stated we should buy some extra poles just in case.

Mr. Adams stated that is standard practice but we have limited storage space for replacement gates and light poles.

Private/Public Roads:

A resident stated the County says these are private not public roads.

Mr. Adams stated the County considers Arbor Greene's roads private because it neither owns nor maintains the roads. However, the District is a governmental agency that owns and maintains these roadways so the roads are public.

A resident asked what are your financial reserve plans for future repairs?

Mr. Daugirda responded road repairs are normally 15-year projects. We are a government entity we can get long-term financing. We could also build a large reserve through assessments by structuring them for Years 11-15 instead of Years 1-10. This is a matter we take up each year at the Budget discussions.

Tree Trimming Responsibilities:

A resident stated tree trimming is being ignored and growth is getting out of hand. This is common land because my property does not extend to the sidewalk.

Mr. Adams stated the CDD has funds to address growth on common areas but not on private property. The street tree between the home and the road belongs to the homeowner. There are rights-of-way and public utility easements but there is no assignment of the maintenance of those trees to the CDD or any other body. The owner must maintain those trees just as they mow the grass between the sidewalk and their property and have the sprinkler system water within that area. This situation is no different from

the improved landscaping on Cross Creek: That road may be owned by the County or City but the CDD has improved landscaping and irrigation in that area and we are responsible for their maintenance.

A resident asked what if the untrimmed trees hurt someone?

Mr. Adams responded I just explained the current policy on street trees in the neighborhoods. Owners are responsible for street trees, turf, and irrigation on property and roads that front private homes. In common areas where there is no adjacent private ownership (single family, multi-family, or estate home) there are berm and easement dedications to the CDD for purposes of landscape maintenance. The CDD owns those facilities because they were built by the developer and turned over to the District, which paid for them with revenue bonds.

A resident asked who is responsible if a dog walker on the sidewalk in front of my house is hurt?

Mr. Daugirda responded they will look to the owner because you are responsible for maintaining the area between the sidewalk and the street.

Mr. Meehan stated we can explain the policy to you after the meeting as well as the increase in resident assessments if the CDD trims those trees.

Miscellaneous:

Front wall cleaning and painting, reflective material on gates, loose roof tiles on guardhouses and gazebos, dead light bulbs throughout the community.

Mr. Adams stated the front wall was painted last spring and needs to be redone. We will look into reflective materials for the gate and replace or fix the lights and loose tiles.

Mr. Meehan stated please do not wait until CDD Board meetings to report needed repairs. Call Ms. Koscinski's office right away so the staff can take care of the problem.

What is Lennar's charge for renting space? Mr. Meehan responded about \$1,600. Ms. Koscinski stated they are almost out of here.

Neighborhood Watch: Last meeting the Board asked to have the Neighborhood Watch area coordinator make a presentation to the CDD. As Mr. Robin has addressed the privacy issue with security camera tapes, do you still wish that presentation?

Mr. Meehan responded the presentation should be at a public meeting.

Mr. Shelton stated the Watch had public meetings in February and May. Does the Board wish another public meeting? Mr. Robin's comment last meeting was that the Watch should not be a policing entity. The purpose of the Watch is to look out for the community. Ms. Nelson is a member of the Watch and the Board and can see our activities and initiatives and coordinate these directly with the CDD Board. As the Watch is also a function of the Tampa Police Department it does carry out a policing effort to stop crime in the neighborhood that the Board are not aware of because most of you are not members of the Watch or residents of this community

Mr. Robin responded you incorrectly interpreted my comment last meeting. My concern is that the CDD Board not become involved in Neighborhood Watch from the standpoint of chasing people down. It is great that the Watch is involved in the community but this should be as a separate resident entity, not a licensed part of the Tampa Police. It is not appropriate for the Board to endorse Watch activities at this time.

Mr. Bootcheck stated the Board wanted more information on how the program was being conducted within the community to help the Watch publicize the program, not for some direct District participation in the program.

Mr. Shelton stated the Watch is a separate entity from the Tampa Police Department. My request to review security tapes was made as a member of Neighborhood Watch in order to identify perpetrators of damage to community property.

Mr. Meehan stated Mr. Robin answered your question: The tapes are available to you at a charge for staff time.

Mr. Daugirda stated but please note that you may find information from the tapes but as you are not part of an official committee or an authorized agent of the District or the Board you cannot take civil action on behalf of the Board.

Mr. Meehan stated the Board can endorse the Watch's aims, coordinate communications between the security service and Watch representatives.

Mr. Robin stated the CDD is concerned about identifying those who damage CDD property and having them pay for or repair any damages. It is the purview of the police department to deal with criminal offenses.

Mr. Shelton stated so if I see an incident late at night after the office is closed and I cannot get pertinent information you are suggesting I not go to the gatehouse to determine if that car has come in but to call the police directly.

Mr. Adams stated you should not place the guard in a dangerous position. If you see a crime in process, contact the police. If you see other incidents that the District should follow up on, contact Ms. Koscinski's office.

Mr. Robin stated you should certainly call the police. I do not know if the new security company has been engaged for any security purposes or what their contract limitations are.

Mr. Adams stated the company is a subcontractor to the CDD, not a District employee or authorized agent. I do not want to provide any camera or other records to a member of the public or the Watch without my understanding the purpose of the request. I can provide records to you but I do not want you to try to obtain records through a third party. Contact me directly for information.

Slowing down traffic at Devonshire:

Ms. Nelson stated there may be suggestions after staff meets with the traffic engineer and we have results from the traffic study. I would like to see something in front of the park to slow traffic down.

Mr. Adams stated we can return to this issue in September. The study is for traffic on Arbor Greene Boulevard as it passes by Devonshire. Discussions are pending on a traffic light at the boulevard entrance but the City of Tampa is the entity to decide what hurdles we must clear in order to get a traffic light there. The County currently owns the boulevard but they are moving to turn the road and all its responsibilities to the City and the CDD is caught in the middle of that transition.

Board Composition:

A resident asked how many Supervisors are on the Board and how many are Arbor Greene residents? What rules must be followed?

Mr. Robin responded there are five Supervisors in all and two are residents. This District is a special purpose unit of local government and a subdivision of state government. All the laws of Florida that apply to public officers, employees, records, and meetings also apply to the District and its Board. Eventually you will have a resident-controlled board but at this last election no one applied to run for the Supervisor jobs.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

On MOTION by Mr. Meehan seconded by Ms. Nelson with all in favor the meeting was adjourned at 11:55 a.m.
--

John Daugirda
Secretary

Charles Funk
Chairman